@#@#@#@#@#@#@

HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE B.PRAKASH RAO
AND
HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE RAMESH RANGANATHAN

WRIT PETITION NO:12643 of 2006

DATED: 23-06-2006

Between:

Syed Viquruddin

..... PETITIONER

AND

1. The State of Andhra Pradesh, rep.by its Secretary to Government, Municipal Administration & Urban Development (CI) Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad and others

.....RESPONDENTS

@#@#@#@#@#@#@

ORDER: (per BPR,J)

Heard Sri T.Suryakaran Reddy, learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Government Pleader for Services I and also Mr.J.Manohar Rao, appearing for the contesting respondent.

In this writ petition the petitioner seeks to assail the orders rejecting the application, filed before the Tribunal, which in turn sought to assail the orders of transfer.

On contest, the tribunal found that it is a pure administrative one and therefore, as rightly held by this Court in a number of decisions the tribunal therein did not accede the request of the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that having regard to the cut off date and especially when the contesting respondent continues all along, the petitioner is also entitled to continue in the same place.

Having heard the learned counsel on either side and on perusal of the record, as rightly pointed out by the tribunal irrespective of the question as to the cut off date, we do not find any enforceable right vested to the petitioner to assail the orders of the tribunal which is purely administrative in nature. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there has been certain vacancy in his previous place of work.

The writ petition is accordingly disposed of leaving it open to the petitioner to file proper application before the authority concerned, which can be considered and disposed of on merits. No order as to costs.

_____

23rd June, 2006

SKM